

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the  
Commission's Own Motion to Evaluate Existing  
Practices and Policies for Processing Offset Rate  
Increases and Balancing Accounts in the Water  
Industry to Decide Whether New Processes are  
Needed.

Rulemaking 01-12-009  
(December 11, 2001)

**REVISED RULING AND SCOPING MEMO REGARDING  
(1) DATE OF ISSUANCE OF PROPOSED DECISION AND  
(2) REQUESTS FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

**Date of Issuance of Proposed Decision**

Due to circumstances beyond our control, the proposed decision will now issue no later than September 13, 2002, rather than August 15, 2002, as stated in a prior ruling.

**Requests for Oral Argument**

Rule 8(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that in a quasi-legislative proceeding, a party has the right to make a final oral argument before the Commission if the party so requests within the time and in the manner specified in the scoping memo or later ruling in the proceeding.

Parties requesting a final oral argument on the issues raised by this rulemaking before the Commission should make this request in writing by letter to the Assigned Administrative Law Judge sent no later than August 16, 2002.

If oral argument occurs, we anticipate that the California Water Association can speak for the water districts on all common issues (i.e., issues

which the districts agree on the outcome).<sup>1</sup> If a district has a unique issue and wishes to make a brief presentation, in its request for oral argument, that district should so state, and should also set forth the issues that district wishes to address.

**IT IS RULED** that:

1. The proposed decision will now issue no later than September 13, 2002.
2. Parties requesting a final oral argument before the Commission on the issues raised by this rulemaking should make this request in writing by letter to the Assigned Administrative Law Judge sent no later than August 16, 2002.

---

<sup>1</sup> We anticipate that the Office of Ratepayer Advocates would also participate in the oral argument. If the oral argument occurs, a separate ruling will address the participants and schedule.

3. If oral argument occurs, we anticipate that the California Water Association can speak for the water districts on all common issues. If a district has a unique issue and wishes to make a brief presentation, in its request for oral argument, that district should so state, and should also set forth the issues that district wishes to address.

Dated July 25, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GEOFFREY F. BROWN

Geoffrey F. Brown  
Assigned Commissioner

/s/ JANET A. ECONOME

Janet A. Econome  
Administrative Law Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Revised Ruling and Scoping Memo Regarding (1) Date of Issuance of Proposed Decision and (2) Requests for Oral Argument on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record. In addition service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated July 25, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

**N O T I C E**

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.